Final report :

Methodology and results concerning the evaluation of surface micro- and mesoplastics pollution in Norwegian waters

Sampling performed by the ship "The Mauritius" during the summer 2020

Ref: 06.04.2020, 14.06.2020-06.08.2020, Jnr. 20/5601

Written by : Pascal Hagmann*, Geneva, February 4th, 2021

* Association Oceaneye, c/o Association Emporte-pièces, Rue de Montbrillant 30, 1201 Geneva, Switzerland Contact : <u>pascal.hagmann@oceaneye.ch</u>, +41 78 637 16 73

1. Introduction

Oceaneye is a Swiss scientific non-profit organization specialized in the analysis of plastic pollution in marine waters. Since 2015, Oceaneye is leading a global citizen science project with the aim to monitor micro- and mesoplastic pollution in surface waters around the world with the help of volunteer sailors. Thus, Oceaneye has equipped various sailing vessels, and in particular the sailing ship "the Mauritius" with scientific equipment to collect surface samples. Sampling is performed opportunistically (no pre-defined sampling program) when circumstances and weather conditions are fulfilled. The collected samples are analyzed by the Oceaneye association to produce data concerning this pollution. These data are then shared with scientists [1] and international organization and more specifically with the Grid/UN-environment [2] and soon to the monitoring program of G20 [3] and the MSFD of the European Commission [4]. For more details concerning the use of the data, please refer to our own scientific publications [5], [6], and [7].

The sailing yacht "the Mauritius" collected 11 samples between the 22.06.2020 and 30.07.2020 in Norwegian waters. The goal of this report is to present the results. Oceaneye's activities being of public interest and the data produced being opensource, Oceaneye remains at the full disposal of the Norwegian authorities to provide any data or information.

2. Methodology

2.1 Sampling

The samples have been collected by the ship "The Mauritius" using a "Manta Trawl" surface net tow. The Manta Trawl was placed on the windward side of the ship at a distance of 2.0 m off the hull to avoid any wake effect of the ship. The net has a mesh size of 0.33 mm, and the size of the inlet flow rectangular opening is 0.6 m×0.15 m. The

samples were collected at a target speed of 3 kn in wind conditions between 0 and 20 kn. The duration of the trawl was of 30 min. The filtered volume of water was measured with a mechanical flowmeter (Hydrobios 438 110). The wind speed has been recorded every 5 min, and the sea conditions were classified in three categories following the Douglass scale : slight (wave height <0.5 m), smooth (0.5 m<wave height<1.25 m) and moderate (1.25-wave height<2.5 m). Samples were stored in plastic pockets with salt and under vacuum at room temperature and protected from exposure to light.

2.2 Sample analysis

Samples were first sieved through 5.0 mm and 1.0 mm square mesh sieves (VWR) to separate mesoparticles from microparticles, and rinsed with water. The resulting filtrate was placed in Petri dishes, and observed through a stereo microscope (Leica EZ4W). All particles were visually identified, separated from the plankton and sorted into six form categories based on that of Shaw and Day 1994 [8] —fragments from larger pieces, pellets (including preproduction pellets as well as smaller cosmetics microbeads), lines (fishing lines and synthetic fibres), thin films, foams and other particles (tar, glass, etc.). Once dried for 1 week at room temperature, the particles of each category were counted and weighted (Mettler Toledo AT261, accuracy 0.1 mg).

2.3 Correction due to the effect of wind mixing

Kukulka et al. 2012 demonstrated that plastic debris is vertically distributed within the upper water column due to wind-driven mixing and that surface net tows cannot account for the total amount of plastic pieces in the upper ocean mix layer, except in low wind conditions (u_{10} <4 m/s). An estimation model [9] based on wind speed measurement can be used to improve the estimation of the total amount of plastic in the wind-mixed surface layer.

3. Results

The final results are presented in form of tables and maps. The raw data are provided in an attached excel file.

The variables in the table below are as follows:

Id =	name of the sample
Date =	date of sampling in mm/dd/yyyy
Lat =	latitude of net launching in degrees, minutes and decimals of minutes
Long =	longitude of net launching in degrees, minutes and decimals of minutes
U ₁₀ =	wind speed at 10 meters in knots
C _{micro_num} =	number concentration of microplastics (1.0 <= siewing diameter < 5.0 mm) in items/km ²
C _{micro_mg} =	mass concentration of microplastics (1.0 <= siewing diameter < 5.0 mm) in mg/km ²
C _{meso_num} =	number concentration of mesoplastics (siewing diameter >= 5.0 mm) in items/km ²
C _{meso_mg} =	mass concentration of mesoplastics (siewing diameter >= 5.0 mm) in mg/km ²
C _{tot_num} =	number concentration of total plastics (siewing diameter >= 1.0 mm) in items/km ²
C _{tot_mg} =	mass concentration of total plastics (siewing diameter >= 1.0 mm) in mg/km ²

© oceaneye

ld	Date	Lat	Long	U ₁₀	C _{micro_num}	C _{micro_mg}	C _{meso_num}	C _{meso_mg}	C_{tot_num}	C _{tot_mg}
MAU_2020_4	6/22/2020	N 60°55.70'	E 004°23.62'	11.5	5316	3987	0	0	5316	3987
MAU_2020_5	6/24/2020	N 64°02.67'	E 009°34.99'	11.5	4518	2316	0	0	4518	2316
MAU_2020_6	6/27/2020	N 66°23.77'	E 012°58.88'	10.8	4696	2244	522	2452	5217	4696
MAU_2020_7	6/28/2020	N 68°09.24'	E 014°45.52'	15.1	1877	1001	0	0	1877	1001
MAU_2020_8	6/30/2020	N 69°08.42'	E 017°41.88'	11.8	2507	1354	501	752	3008	2106
MAU_2020_9	7/17/2020	N 70°59.66'	E 020°36.54'	8.6	1874	3685	625	20863	2499	24548
MAU_2020_10	7/18/2020	N 73°16.07'	E 019°44.07'	6.6	7576	12728	505	2066552	8082	2079280
MAU_2020_11	7/20/2020	N 75°49.02'	E 017°32.74'	3.8	1391	2087	0	0	1391	2087
MAU_2020_12	7/21/2020	N 77°37.96'	E 013°32.92'	5.3	1200	1380	0	0	1200	1380
MAU_2020_13	7/26/2020	N 78°30.66'	E 016°05.40'	4.0	849	934	0	0	849	934
MAU_2020_14	7/30/2020	N 78°58.39'	E 011°14.42'	3.1	4189	1414	524	43726	4713	45140



Figure 1. Measured concentrations of microplastics (1 mm <= sewing diameter <= 5 mm). Left : number concentration, right : mass concentrations.



Figure 1. Measured concentrations of all plastics (sewing diameter >= 1 mm). Left : number concentration, right : mass concentrations.

4. Conclusions

We wish to thank all the crew of the Mauritius for their contribution to this project. The data will be shared with several scientists and the results used and interpreted in future scientific publications. We also would like to thank the Norwegian authorities for their permission to carry out this project in their territorial waters. Oceaneye remains at the disposal of national authorities for further information.

110 mon

Pascal Hagmann Director

References

[1] https://www.oceaneye.ch/association/conseillers/

[2] <u>https://wesr.unep.org/topic/globalmonitoring</u> https://app.mapx.org/static.html?views=MX-MKEVU-V3UVX-UMQEX&zoomToViews=true&language=en&

- [3] https://g20mpl.org/
- [4] https://emodnet.eu/en

[5] Faure, F., Saini, C., Potter, G., Galgani, F., De Alencastro, L. F., & Hagmann, P. (2015). An evaluation of surface micro-and mesoplastic pollution in pelagic ecosystems of the Western Mediterranean Sea. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(16), 12190-12197.

[6] Michida Yutaka, Suchana Chavanich, Andrés Cózar Cabañas, Pascal Hagmann, Hirofumi Hinata, Atsuhiko Isobe, Peter Kershaw, Nikolai Kozlovskii, Daoji Li, Amy L. Lusher, Elisa Martí, Sherri A. Mason, Jingli Mu, Hiroaki Saito, Won Joon Shim, Agung Dhamar Syakti, Hideshige Takada, Richard Thompson, Tadashi Tokai, Keiichi Uchida, Katerina Vasilenko, Juying Wang (2019). Guidelines for Harmonizing Ocean Surface Microplastic Monitoring Methods. *Ministry of the Environment Japan, 71 pp.*

[7] Atsuhiko Isobea, Nina T. Buenaventura, Stephen Chastain, Suchana Chavanich, Andrés Cózar, Marie DeLorenzo, Pascal Hagmann, Hirofumi Hinata, Nikolai Kozlovskii, Amy L. Lusher, Elisa Martí, Yutaka Michida, Jingli Mu, Motomichi Ohno, Gael Potter, Peter S. Ross, Nao Sagawa, Won Joon Shim, Young Kyoung Song, Hideshige Takada, Tadashi Tokai, Takaaki Torii, Keiichi Uchida, Katerina Vassillenko, Voranop Viyakarn, Weiwei Zhang (2019), An interlaboratory comparison exercise for the determination of microplastics in standard sample bottles, *Marine Pollution Bulletin 146 (2019) 831–837*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.07.033

[8] Shaw DG, Day RH (1994) Colour- and form-dependent loss of plastic micro-debris from the North Pacific Ocean. Mar Pollut Bull 28:39–43. doi:10.1016/0025-326X(94)90184-8

[9] Kukulka, T., Proskurowski, G., Morét-Ferguson, S., Meyer, D.W., Law, K.L., 2012. The effect of wind mixing on the vertical distribution of buoyant plastic debris. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, n/a–n/a. doi:10.1029/2012GL051116